EXHIBIT 6

```
Page 1
 1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 <sup>5</sup> In Re: CREDIT SUISSE-AOL
 <sup>6</sup> SECURITIES LITIGATION
                      Master File No. 1:02 cv12146
 7
 <sup>8</sup> This Document Relates To:
           ALL ACTIONS
10
11
12
13
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
14
                            OF
15
                    SCOTT D. HAKALA
16
                  New York, New York
17
                Tuesday, July 10, 2007
18
19
20
21
22
23
<sup>24</sup> Reported by:
  ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, CCR, RPR
<sup>25</sup> JOB NO. 11862
```

Case 1.02-CV-12140-NG Document 30	05-11 Filed 04/2 1/2009 Fage 5 01 25
Page 2	Page 3
1	1
2	2 APPEARANCES:
3	3
4	4 KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
5 July 10, 2007	5 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Bricklayers and
6 9:38 a.m.	6 Trowel Trades International Pension
7 9.36 a .m.	7 Fund, the Proposed Class and Dr. Hakala
	,
8 Widestened densities of SCOTED	8 850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor
9 Videotaped deposition of SCOTT D.	9 New York, New York 10022
10 HAKALA, held at the offices of DAVIS, POLK	10 BY: DONALD R. HALL, ESQ.
21 & WARDWELL, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York,	11
12 New York, pursuant to Notice before Annette	12 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL
13 Arlequin, a Certified Court Reporter, a	13 Attorneys for Defendants Credit Suisse
14 Registered Professional Reporter and Notary	14 First Boston LLC and Credit Suisse
15 Public of the State of New York.	15 First Boston USA
16	16 450 Lexington Avenue
17	17 New York, New York 10017
18	18 BY: AVI GESSER, ESQ.
19	19 DANIEL J. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
20	20 JONATHAN K. CHANG, ESQ.
21	21 DHARMA BETANCOURT FREDERICK, ESQ.
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
Page 4	Page 5
Page 4	Page 5
	_
1	1
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.):	1 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by 3 and between the attorneys for the
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3	1 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by 3 and between the attorneys for the
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP	1 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by 3 and between the attorneys for the 4 respective parties herein, that filing and
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived.
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ.	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ.	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial.
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT:	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court.
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court.
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - 000 -
APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - 000 -
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - o0o -
APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - 000 -
APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - o00 -
APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - o0o -
1 2 APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): 3 4 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - 000 -
APPEARANCES (Cont'd.): WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Frank Quattrone 6 60 State Street 7 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 8 BY: JEFFREY B. RUDMAN, ESQ. 9 JARED C. MILLER, ESQ. 10 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 15 MICHAEL PINEIRO, Legal Video Specialist 16 ANU BHARADWAJ, Cornerstone Research 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question, shall be reserved to the time of the trial. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be sworn to and signed before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court. - 000 -

Page 6 Page 7 * Proceedings * 1 * Proceedings * 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the tape 2 MS. FREDERICK: Dharma Frederick, 2 3 labeled No. 1 of the video deposition of 3 Davis Polk, for the Credit Suisse Dr. Scott Hakala in the matter of In Re 4 4 defendants. 5 Credit Suisse AOL Securities Litigation. 5 MR. HALL: Donny Hall, Kaplan Fox & 6 This deposition is being held at 450 6 Kilsheimer on behalf of Bricklayers and the 7 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York on 7 class and on behalf of Dr. Hakala. July 10, 2007 at approximately 9:38. 8 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court 9 9 My name is Michael Pineiro from TSG reporter please swear in the witness. 10 Reporting, Inc. and I am the legal video 10 SCOTT D. HAKALA, called as a 11 specialist. 11 The court reporter is Annette 12 12 witness, having been duly sworn by the Arlequin in association with TSG Reporting. Notary Public, testified as follows: 13 13 **EXAMINATION BY** Will counsel please introduce 14 14 15 MR. GESSER: 15 yourself. 16 MR. GESSER: Avi Gesser from Davis 16 Q. Dr. Hakala, you've been deposed Polk & Wardwell for the Credit Suisse before? 17 17 18 A. Yes. 18 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Daniel Schwartz, 19 Q. How many times? 20 Davis Polk & Wardwell, also for the Credit 20 A. Over 100 now. Q. Okay. So you're familiar with the Suisse defendants. 21 21 MR. RUDMAN: Jeff Rudman, WilmerHale, ground rules? 22 22 for Frank Ouattrone. 23 A. Yes. 23 24 Q. Okay. My job is to ask questions and MR. MILLER: Jared Miller, 24 WilmerHale, also for Mr. Quattrone. 25 25 your job is to answer them as best as you can; TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 8 Page 9 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 1 2 2 is that correct? Q. About how many times? 3 A. Once or twice, maybe more, but mostly 3 A. Yes. Q. And have you -- is there any reason just scheduling kinds of things. 4 why you can't give accurate and complete 5 Q. Okay. Where are you currently testimony today; any medications you're taking 6 living? or anything like that? 7 7 A. I'm living in Highland Village, A. No. 8 8 Texas. 9 9 Q. All right. What did you do to Q. If you could take me through your prepare for your deposition today? 10 educational background. 10 A. I reviewed my report, both my 11 11 You have a BA in economics? original report and then my rebuttal report. 12 A. I have a BA in economics with a minor 12 I reviewed the report of Professor 13 13 in business and a pre-law emphasis in polysci, and then I have a Ph.D., and I earned that in Stulzman -- Stulz. I reviewed the deposition of 14 14 15 Professor Stulz and then I read the complaint. 1983, I earned a Ph.D. or doctorate in economics 15 Then I met with Donny Hall for about 16 in 1989. 16 two hours total. Maybe a little less than. 17 Q. From where? 17 Q. When did you meet with Mr. Hall? A. From the University of Minnesota. 18 18 A. Yesterday. 19 Both of the degrees are from the University of 19 20 Q. Was anyone else present? 20 Minnesota but the undergraduate degree is from A. No. 21 the Duluth campus. 21 Q. And did you meet - did you have any 22 Q. Okay. And did you - you had a 22 prelaw emphasis? phone conversations with Mr. Hall regarding your 23 24 preparation? 24 A. Yes. Q. Did you intend to go to law school? 25 25 A. Briefly, yes. TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580

1

2

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

3

4 5

10

14

15

8 9

11 during that time? 12

13

of Macroeconomics lecture. 17 I was, because of my training and

background, I was given the responsibility, the first year I was really the associate so I

20 taught some of the breakout groups, but the 21 second year I was promoted to being the large

22 lecturer, which meant that I had about 450

23 students a quarter that were broken down in

24 about 10, 11 different subsections with other

graduate students as what I call TAs grading

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Q. And how long did you -- were you an 17 assistant professor there?

18 A. Four years.

19

Q. And what courses did you teach?

20 A. I taught the Ph.D. level Monetary and

21 Financial Theory course. 22

I taught the Principles of

23 Macroeconomics course. 24

Occasionally Money and Banking.

25 I also taught a course on

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

17

20

21

1

5

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

Page 14

1 S. Hakala 2 international finance that generally was targeted to senior undergraduates and students 3 in an applied master's program. 4

- Q. Did you publish any peer-reviewed 5 articles during this time? 6
 - A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you seek tenure as a professor at 9 Southern Methodist?
 - A. No.

7

10

- Q. When did you leave Southern Methodist 11 12 University?
- A. I think formally July of 1992. 13
- Q. Why did you leave? 14
- A. I left because I was very frustrated 15 with the academic process and the level of 16 research support at SMU. 17

I also left with a year left on my 18 contract at SMU because I felt that consulting 19 would be a better fit, would give more 20 flexibility both financially and personally for 21 22 me.

23 Q. So what did you do after you left Southern Methodist University? 24

A. I actually had an overlap in May of 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 1 S. Hakala

2 1992. I was formally employed by a firm known as Business Valuation Services, which is really the predecessor of the firm I now work for. 5

- Q. And how long did you work for **Business Valuation Services?**
- 7 A. Until it was acquired in May of 1998 8 with maybe a two-month window.
 - Q. And what did you do for them?
- 10 A. I was hired as an economist, as a senior consultant, and eventually I was promoted 11 to a principal.

13 I helped oversee employment issues, any kind of work that was required to be done, 14 interacting with clients. Just a pretty broad mix. At that time we were doing a lot of valuation work so day in and day out most of the work I was doing was interacting with clients 19 who needed valuations for a variety of purposes.

Q. When you say "clients," these are corporations you were valuing?

22 A. Corporations or families' estates. 23 We were doing a lot of estate planning work with 24 attorneys or corporations that needed like an 25 allocation of purchase price study or a transfer TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 16

Page 17

Page 15

S. Hakala 1 2 pricing study or some other valuation assignment that would be used for some accounting or tax 3 purpose. 4

Q. And why did you leave Business Valuation Services in 1998?

A. I only left for two months and only 7 as an interim to try and assist a company to try 8 and raise capital and to provide sort of an 10 interim position as a bridge. Other than that, I never left the firm. 11

Q. Okay. Did you work for Laser Biotherapy?

- A. Yes, but only two months.
- 14 Q. Okay. And what did you do for them? 15 16 Is that the company that you were just referring to? 17
 - A. Yes. Yeah.
- Q. And what did you do for Laser 19 20 Biotherapy?
- A. I was the president and CEO. 21 I was also really the controller and 22

23 CFO.

5

12

13

18

24 I had originally done a lot

25 consulting work in preparing business plans

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

S. Hakala

projections. Essentially I completely redid the 3 financials from the ground up, reconstructed the 4 financial statements.

I interacted with physicians who were -- we had a double blind study at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, so I met up and met with him, reviewed his work, made sure the statistics were done right.

I had a limited amount of oversight 10 on our seeking FDA approval for the device we 11 were manufacturing, met with perspective 12 13 manufacturers, vendors and other doctors where we had demonstration units. 14

Q. And why was your term at Laser Biotherapy only three months?

A. Well, it was really two months. But once I got in, first of all, some

of the initial seed money that was promised we 20 would have to work with didn't show up, so it 21 was very frustrating to work without money.

22 Second, I think sometimes you get 23 into something that looks great and you get

along with someone really well and then when 24

25 you're working with them day in and day out and TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case 1:02-cv-12146-NG Document 305-11 Filed 04/21/2009 Page 7 of 25 Page 18 Page 19 S. Hakala S. Hakala 1 1 you're making decisions, it's a different 2 Q. And what did ErgoBilt, Inc. do? 3 3 A. They were primarily a manufacturer of matter. ergonomic chairs and ergonomic products. That 4 And when you're president and CEO and a fiduciary and you're trying to raise money, was their main subsidiary. you have to make certain assurances to investors 6 They also had, they owned a court 7 about the integrity of your systems. And some reporting product that they were trying to of the things that I needed to do, some of the develop which had some ergonomic applications, money that I had gotten commitments on I could and they were trying to develop it for not just 10 not get commitments from some of the 10 court reporting, but also so students that are 11 shareholders who were existing shareholders to 11 court reporting could use the device as an go along with what they were demanding, and so I 12 all-in-one. It was a, the computer, instead of 13 basically recognized it was time to go back home 13 having a separate stenograph and a computer, you'd have them all integrated, and then in so to speak. 14 O. And so you went back to Business 15 addition, it used a more friendly system than 15 16 Value Services? 16 the traditional stenographic method. A. Business Valuation Services. 17 Some of the schools had adopted the 17 Q. Valuation Services. 18 method. It had originally been backed by EDS 18 19 And at that point it had become CBIZ; 19 and there was a dispute with EDS. 20 And so that was the other business 20 is that correct? A. No. No. It was still Business and I think they also acquired a court reporting 21 21 Valuation Services when I returned. 22 22 software company. O. And were there accounting problems at Q. Okay. And did you also serve as a 23 23 24 director of ErgoBilt, Inc.? 24 ErgoBilt when you were there? 25 A. Yes, I did. 25 A. Well, they were -- they preceded my TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide Page 20 Page 21 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 1 existence there. I was brought in to help clean 2 Q. When did your affiliation with it up after the fact, but yes. ErgoBilt end? O. And in fact PwC resigned as their 4 A. I believe about April of 1999, yeah. 4 auditor shortly after you joined; is that 5 Q. And why did it end? 5 6 correct? 6 A. I had come on board in July of '98. 7 7 There were a lot of bad apples. I was in charge A. Yes. of overseeing reconstructive audit work, getting Q. And were they the subject of a suit 8 for securities violations? 9 the books and records in order. 9 A. PwC was? 10 I was also in charge of managing or 10 Q. No, ErgoBilt. on the legal committee. That's a pretty hard 11 11 Oh, ErgoBilt was, yes. task, it's pretty demanding of time and the 12 12 Was that suit filed before or after principals that I was working with at Business 13 О. 13 you came? Valuation Services expressed a lot of concern 14 14 15 A. Before. 15 about the amount of time that I was spending In fact, I think the press release 16 16 doing that. 17 that ended the class period was something I had 17 I also became very increasingly helped work on. Basically I put it out there to concerned that having made a lot of hard 18 make sure we weren't continuing the problem. decisions, I was not going to be effective going 19 19 20 Q. And was ErgoBilt a public company? 20 forward and needed to eliminate my exposure.

21

22

23

24

877-702-9580

21

22

23

24 25 A. It was, yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

O. Did it get delisted from NASDAO?

O. Was that during your time there?

Q. And so you at this — during this time period that you were a director at ErgoBilt, you were also working for Business Valuation Services?

25 A. That was the name, although the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

1 2 corporate entity had changed. In May of 1998, Business Valuation Services was acquired by a public company then known as Century Business 5 Services.

6 O. And that later became known as CBIZ; 7 is that correct?

Yes.

8

1

4

5

7

11

14

9 And starting in 1998, what was your Q. 10 day-to-day role at CBIZ?

11 A. My formal title was still as a 12 principal. I still had some management responsibilities but it had changed 13 14 dramatically. Rather than being a very small what I would call phantom equity owner, I was 16 now working for a subsidiary of a public 17 company. So certain of the reporting, decision-making process that I might have been involved in the prior two or three years as a 20 principal of the firm I no longer was involved

21 22 A lot of the work that I was doing in 23 that time period was what I would call working with firms that were either in financial

25 distress, seeking financing or other kinds of TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 24

Page 22

1

4

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

15

16

17

18

Page 25

S. Hakala

2 What percentage of the income that you generate for the firm would you say comes 3 from your litigation consulting?

A. About 90 percent or in that -- maybe 85 percent, 80 percent, because a lot of the non-litigation work I sell and I oversee but gets leveraged or managed off to other people. 9 Litigation, I can't do that like I do my other 10 work.

Q. And so for your litigation consulting you have people who work for you or do you 12 actually give the work, you bring in the work and give it to somebody else to run?

A. No. Let's talk about non-litigation. 15 16 Non-litigation I have -- I might -- let's say I have a client who wants me to do a transfer pricing study for a pharmaceutical company, okay? I'll sell that and probably 95 percent of 20 the time in the billings would be done by other people in the office where I will set up the meetings, initiate the work, make sure it's done right, but I will do actually very little 23 24 substantive work.

In litigation, in contrast, you can't 25 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

2 transaction related work. I did a lot of 3 transaction work in this time period.

Q. And when did you start doing litigation consulting?

6 A. I had done litigation consulting from 7 the beginning.

Q. From '92.

A. From '92 onward, yeah.

10 Q. And over the last five years, what 11 percentage of your work would you say is 12 litigation consulting?

A. Well, it's about 90 percent of my time, but less, substantially less than that of my engagements.

It has increased dramatically. It probably five years ago was already at 75 percent and then has increased steadily each year since then.

20 Q. And what's the rough percentage of 21 the income that you derive, as a percentage, what amount of the income would you say comes 22 23 from litigation consulting?

24 Α. Well, the income of the firm?

25 Q. The income that you - I'm sorry.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

do that. I found that's a dangerous thing to 3

4 So typically there as much as, you know, sometimes as little as 10 percent but as 5 much as 20, 25, 30, 40, in some cases 50 or 100 percent of a litigation assignment will be my 8 personal billings.

9 I don't have a separate pool of staff. We have about 19, 20 professionals in 10 our office who work on anything that comes in. 11 12 Two-thirds of our office's billings are non-litigation so... But they're general staff. 13 14 They do whatever comes in the door.

Q. And again over the last five years, what percentage of your litigation consulting would you say relates to securities class actions?

19 A. It has increased substantially. I would say it was probably still 50 percent or 20 21 less five years ago.

22 It is now substantially greater than 23 that, but probably not more than 70 percent.

24 Q. Okay. And of those securities class 25 action consulting projects that you work on,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

1

5

б

7

8

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

4

5

7

8

compensation to the firm, that's not compensation to me.

paid for any of your work in this case so far?

9 10 our invoice issued in June.

11 12 in June yet.

13 14 is yet?

15 A. I don't think it's a large amount 16 because we had -- I had done a draft report on

17 this rebuttal to Stulz before that, so it's... 18 I don't know, it might be a few thousand

19 dollars.

20

O. I mean total.

21 A. The newest invoice?

Q. I mean the total amount that you 22

received in this case so far. 23

A. 35, 40,000 maybe. It's a rough 24

25 guess. I don't know for sure.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Α. Yes.

19

15 Q. Any idea how much time they spent on 16 preparing the reports? 17

A. Probably the same amount --

18 MR. HALL: Objection.

A. -- or greater in terms of time.

- 20 O. And how does that time compare with,
- 21 for example, the amount of time that was prepared - that was spent preparing reports

23 that you had done in Metromedia?

A. I spent more time on Metromedia 24

25 because I issued a direct report in a damages

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 30 Page 31 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 2 report, so there was a lot more time reviewing 2 Not that I can think of. 3 depositions and other things. I wouldn't be Q. Did you draft the first draft of this 4 surprised if my time in that case is over 100 4 report? 5 hours, whereas this is probably at most maybe 40 A. I think so. Yeah, everything in here is my wording but some of the footnotes you'll 6 to 60 hours of work at most on my part. probably recognize from other reports, but it's 7 (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 1, 8 Declaration of Scott D. Hakala Regarding 8 all mine. 9 9 Market Efficiency, is marked for Q. And when you say the footnotes I might recognize from other reports, those are identification, as of this date.) 10 10 BY MR. GESSER: other reports that you filed in other cases? 11 11 A. Yes. Yeah. Q. Dr. Hakala, I'm showing you a 12 12 document marked Hakala Exhibit 1. 13 Q. So if the same issue arises in 13 14 Do you recognize this document? 14 different cases that you're consulting with, you A. Yes. 15 will take pieces from one report and drop it 15 into the other report to the extent that it's 16 Q. Can you tell me what this document 16 17 is? 17 applicable? A. This appears to be a copy of the 18 A. Yes. 18 declaration and report on market efficiency that 19 Okay. I'm showing you now -I've prepared in this case. MR. GESSER: We'll have to mark that 20 20 Q. Do you stand by the analysis and 21 Exhibit 2. 21 conclusions that were reached in this report? (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 2, 22 22 Rebuttal report of Dr. Hakala, is marked 23 23 A. Yes. for identification, as of this date.) 24 O. Is there anything that you'd like to 24 25 BY MR. GESSER: 25 change in this report? TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580 Page 33 Page 32 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala Q. Do you recognize the document that's Do you know why Texas was chosen? Is 2 that because that's where you live? marked Exhibit 2? 3 3 4 A. That's where I live. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. But the actual document was 5 Q. Can you tell me what that is? executed in Philadelphia? A. It's a copy of a rebuttal report that 6 6 7 A. Yes. 7 I prepared in this case. 8 Q. And is there anything you'd like to 8 Q. Is that because you were there at the change or modify or correct in this report? 9 time? 9 10 A. Not that I can think of, no. A. Yes. 10 Q. So you stand by the analysis and Q. Paragraph 7 of the report, this is on 11 11 12 page 5, if you look at the second sentence it conclusions in the report? 12 says, "Most of the reports issued by the 13 A. Yes. 13 14 defendants were merely repeating or commenting Q. And do you know if you drafted the 14 on AOL Time Warner news without significant first draft of this report? 15 15 changes in opinion, such that stock price A. Yes. This was entirely written by 16 16 effects would be viewed as unlikely." 17 17 me. 18 Q. All right. If you turn to the last, 18 Α. Yes. 19 I guess it's the second to last page of Exhibit 19 О. Can you tell me what you mean by 20 that? 20 2 ---21 A. What I mean by that is that if an 21 A. Yes. Q. - it says that you declare under the 22 analyst issues a report that does not 22 significantly alter their recommendation or 23 laws of the State of Texas, declare under 23 penalty of perjury under the laws of the State 24 alters their forecasts or other comments that are not different from what one would expect 25 of Texas. 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

25

1

5

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Page 34

Page 35

S. Hakala

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

1

2 3

4

5

7

8

from an analyst in that time period, they are 2 unlikely to cause a significant movement in the 3 stock price. 4

- Q. So for the analyst reports that are at issue in this case, the CSFB reports on AOL, do you know about how many of those reports you would put into this category?
- A. Well, the vast majority of the reports have material statements, meaning that if the reports had said what is alleged in the complaint and identified in emails, those reports certainly would have caused a significant effect on the stock price.

However, because they did not make 16 those statements, they omitted to make those statements, most of the reports are merely reiterating existing guidance or commenting on events where they are merely reiterating the same forecasts they might have made in another 21 report.

22 So many of the reports are like AOL 23 announces they have achieved 28 million subscribers and then they re-insert in the 25 report that they expect AOL to make EBITDA TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 S. Hakala

> 2 targets of 10.9 billion and, you know, continue to see, you know, whatever, but they're repeating the same thing that's in a prior report is what I mean.

Q. Okay. And so my question to you was, 6 how many of the reports fall into this category? 7 MR. HALL: Objection.

A. I didn't do an add up.

10 We could do that if you had a list of all the reports and we could segregate them. 11

I would say the majority of the reports would probably fall into the category of merely commenting on the news without making an affirmative statement on the part of AOL -- of CSFB about AOL.

Q. But so there are some reports in your view that do not fall into this category where new news was being disclosed to the market from CSFB; is that correct?

21 A. No. I don't think that it was new 22 news, although the July 19th and the September 18 or 19, the reports around those two time periods, that was clearly new news by CSFB. 24

O. July 19th of --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 37

Page 36

S. Hakala

'01 and September 18 or 19 of '01.

O. Okay. We'll get to those in a moment.

But can you think of any other reports that in your view were more than merely a reiteration of either a previous report by CSFB or a statement to the market by AOL?

A. I think there were probably about 8 10 or 10 reports that were beyond a reiteration 11 where they made some affirmative comment about 12 whether the guidance of AOL was achievable or some other statement which would be material to 14 investors in the sense that it's telling them something about the risk and prospects for AOL 16 Time Warner.

A number of the statements that were 17 18 what I would call new were issued in like the first six months of 2001, and I remember there 20 being about a handful of reports that were not 21 just a comment on what AOL is saying and then 22 just a repeat of what's in a prior report in 23 both sum and substance, but making some 24 additional affirmative or negative comment.

O. Okay. And can you tell me which days 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

S. Hakala

2 those -- on which days those reports were issued that in your view had an impact on AOL's stock 4 price?

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. Well, I would tell you that all of the reports had an impact on AOL's stock price, and what I mean by impact is a report that does not change earnings expectations impacts the 10 stock price because when you're doing the consensus estimates and you're looking at 11 trends, the fact that an analyst is retaining or maintaining their current earnings targets, their current price target and other metrics and revenue forecasts shows up on the boards so to speak. 16

So if I'm looking at momentum, let's say there's 20 analysts covering a stock, I want to see what analysts let's say in the last week, the last four weeks, the last two months have reduced earnings guidance, reduced revenues guidance, reduced EBITDA guidance, have lowered their recommendation or raised their recommendation. I want to see trends. So all of these are having an impact

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 38

S. Hakala

2 in the sense that they are not signaling a

- change in expectations for AOL Time Warner when 3
- my understanding is the pleadings are that they
- would have done so had the truth been disclosed.
- Q. All right. Let's leave aside --6 7 let's leave aside what wasn't said in the
- reports for in a moment and dealing with the
- effect of what was said in the reports on AOL 9
- 10 stock price.

1

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Now you had said that if I'm --Q.
- correct me if I'm wrong. You said that because 13 14 these CSFB reports were part of the consensus of
- 15 analysts --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 - that they would have an effect on Q.
- the stock price. 18
- 19 A. Right.

1

2

3

7

15

- 20 Q. Okay. Did you analyze the CSFB
- 21 reports against the consensus to see how the
- CSFB reports compared to the analyst consensus?
- A. Not formally, but I did look at all 23
- 24 the analyst reports that we had at various time
- 25 periods, especially in the first and second half

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 40

S. Hakala

- A. I don't remember that.
- I think Stulz mentioned in his report that there may have been, but that may not have
- 5 been my understanding of the consensus. 6
 - I mean there's multiple consensuses depending on if you include buy-side analysts,
- if you include other analysts. 8
- 9 Q. Any idea how many analysts were covering AOL at this time that would have been 10 part of those various consensus? 11
- 12 A. Various consensus reports I saw were in the high teens to the mid 20s, maybe even as 13 high as 30 in some. 14
 - O. And --
- Now that's the broad consensus. 16
- There was what I would call a core 17
- consensus of sell-side analysts who are viewed 18
- 19 as top tier and I think it was, CSFB was
- probably one of five or six of what I would call
- the core top tier, meaning they were most
- frequent and most active in covering and viewed
- as having a closer relationship and more direct
- knowledge of AOL Time Warner than most analysts. 24
- O. And where do you get that from? 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 S. Hakala

2 of '01, and lined up where CSFB was in terms of

price target, in terms of downgrades and so on against them, but I did not do a formal study of

5 that.

1

10

14

- 6 Q. So you wouldn't know, for example, 7 what would happen to the consensus if the CSFB reports had been removed from the consensus
- 9 forecasts.
 - A. No.
- 11 Q. So you wouldn't know whether the 12 consensus forecasts would have been affected at 13 all.

MR. HALL: Objection.

15 A. Up or down -- well, they would have 16 been affected but I don't know how much.

17 If you're talking about the consensus 18 earnings or revenue or EBITDA or growth

19 forecasts, I don't know. I think it would have

gone down slightly because there were some more 21 pessimistic reports out there, but probably not

22 a lot.

1

7

8

23 Q. And were there times during the class 24 period where the CSFB forecasts were below the 25 consensus?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 41

S. Hakala

- 2 A. I get that from just reviewing the
- 3 frequency of the CSFB analyst reports relative
- to the other analyst reports, some of the
- 5 perceptions out there in terms of just looking
- 6 at who's publishing when, how many.
 - Q. But was there an actual core consensus number that was released to the market
- 9 or --
- 10 A. No. I'm talking about if you read
- 11 the reports, which reports appear to be analysts
- issuing more than just a bare bones report, 12
- making very strong qualitative comments about 13
- quality of earnings, achievability of forecasts, 14
- analysts who might be perceived by investors as 15
- 16 having some access to AOL or having superior
- knowledge rather than just somebody like an 17
- investment survey who just listens to conference 18
- 19 calls and, you know, once every three months
- 20 says I think it's a buy or a sell or whatever.
- 21 Q. But there's also something called an 22 analyst consensus, an actual number that gets,
- 23 you know, reported in the press as being the 24 consensus forecast for a company.
- 25 A. There are actually multiple ones.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 43 Page 42 S. Hakala S. Hakala 1 2 Q. Okay. 2 mean it's difficult because all the tests for 3 A. The most common one I think you're impact are really what is the effect of the probably referring to is the First Call report relative to what the effect would have consensus. 5 been in some alternative scenario and without 6 Q. And just to be clear, you haven't seeing the alternative scenario, you can't do done an analysis to determine what would have 7 7 that properly. happened to that First Call consensus had CSFB's 8 Q. But didn't you conduct an event study reports been removed from the consensus for exactly that purpose? 10 forecasts. 10 A. I did a daily event study and I did 11 A. No. not do an intraday event study and yes, I did it 11 12 Q. All right. So -not for that purpose so much as to show that the 13 A. Not that issue. 13 information that was omitted was material and 14 Q. And the second -- turning back to 14 that when analysts of similar weight in the 15 whether the CSFB reports had an effect on AOL 15 market did issue lowering guidance, did make stock price, let's leave aside the issue of what questioning statements --16 would have happened had the reports disclosed 17 Q. But something that they didn't disclose, just the 18 A. -- they had the effect. statements that are contained in the reports, 19 MR. HALL: Let him finish first. 20 how would you determine whether they had an 20 MR. GESSER: He's not answer -impact on the stock price? 21 Q. I said leaving aside the disclosure, MR. HALL: Objection. 22 22 the issue of what wasn't disclosed, just talking A. It's very difficult to do. You might 23 23 about what was disclosed -24 look at trading and price movement directly 24 A. Yes. 25 around the time of issuance of a report, but I 25 O. -- there are --TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 45 Page 44 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 2 MR. HALL: Objection. have an impact? 3 Q. -- there are days in which CSFB spoke 3 A. Yes. where there was no impact by your event study at 4 Q. And how are you able to distinguish 4 the 95 or 90 percent confidence level; is that what was noise from what was the effect of other correct? analysts from what was the effect of CSFB? 6 7 7 MR. HALL: Objection. MR. HALL: Objection. A. No. You're confusing impact and 8 8 A. Again, you're confusing impact with materiality with statistical significance in a moving the stock price. That's not necessarily movement of the stock price. impact in a true econometric or in a statistical 10 10 The fact that the stock price did not 11 11 sense. 12 move significantly does not mean that that 12 Statistics would say and materiality report had no impact. would say the fact that, for example, CSFB in 13 14 It may have had a small impact that 14 the spring of '01 indicated that they thought 15 we can't distinguish from noise. that the forecasts were achievable and that they In some cases we have some pretty 16 tended to discount or try to discount some of 16 strong indications that it had an impact at 17 the rumors that AOL Time Warner was going to 17 least on two dates that are identified in my 18 struggle, they had some impact. 18 Whether we can isolate it report, but that impact was in conjunction with 19 at least a couple of analysts on July 19th and 20 statistically or not is another matter. They 21 with at least one other analyst in September of 21 had an impact. Q. How do you know that? 22 22 23 A. Because they were qualitative 23 We cannot say that the reports had no 24 impact. We cannot say that. 24 statements. Because if the statements had been made alternatively that are the truthful Q. But can you say that the reports did 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 47

Page 46 S. Hakala 1 statements, we know from other results that it 2 would have had an impact. I mean --Q. You say you know that. I mean you, 4 5 you, you suspect that.

Your -- this is I mean -- you can't know what would happen had a statement that wasn't made been made.

MR. HALL: Objection.

6

7

8

9

17

1

5

6

8

9

10

12

10 A. No, you can by looking at what happens when somebody else or when the company makes that statement or when that truth leaks 13 out.

14 Q. And so if CSFB were to make a 15 statement about AOL, you're saying that you 16 can - well, if CSFB -- withdrawn.

If CSFB knew information, some 18 information about AOL but didn't disclose it, 19 and then AOL actually disclosed it and that affected the stock price, you're saying that you 21 could then determine that CSFB, had it disclosed 22 it, wouldn't have the same -- that statement by 23 CSFB would have the same effect as if AOL 24 disclosed it.

A. You can look at the effect when AOL 25 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

2 discloses news versus when an analyst discloses 3 news. I think you can or a jury can reasonably 4 draw an inference that it would have had an 5 effect.

6 It may not have had the same effect 7 as if AOL had disclosed it, but it would certainly have had an effect.

9 There's no question it would have had 10 an effect because lesser analysts when they 11 issued questions similarly later in the class period had an effect. 12

13 We also know that CSFB when it issued 14 a report in September had some effect and we have an idea that CSFB as part of a small 15 16 handful of analysts on July 19th, 2001 had an 17 effect.

18 Q. All right. Let's start with, because 19 I think we're talking at cross purposes, let's start with what it is that affects stock prices, okay? 21

A. Yes.

22

23

24

1

13

17

18

Q. Because I think it would be helpful. AOL, you were involved in the In Re 25 AOL class action; is that correct?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 49

Page 48

S. Hakala

2 Yes. A. 3

Q. Okay. And you were retained by plaintiffs In Re AOL?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did you conclude about AOL's effect on its own stock price with respect to its alleged misstatements about its accounting --

MR. HALL: Objection.

O. - practices? 11

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. That they were material, that they 13 14 caused a substantial inflation in the value of

15 AOL and then later AOL Time Warner stock price, 16 and that as the truth leaked out, and part of

17 the leakage in my study was from analysts and

18 for the earnings disappointments in 2001,

19 actually the first one was in October of 2000,

20 and then finally some partial admissions and

21 then other disclosures in let's say July and

22 August of 2001 the truth finally really came out

23 to a large extent.

Q. And so AOL, you concluded that AOL's 24 25 alleged misstatements had caused a loss to AOL

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

2 shareholders?

A. Yes.

O. And did you conclude that any other defendants in that case had caused a loss to AOL shareholders?

7 A. Not specifically. I didn't isolate one defendant versus another. I never even got 9 to that point.

10 Q. But were you looking at other 11 statements, statements by anyone other than AOL or AOL employees?

A. Yes.

14 And did you conclude whether any of 15 those defendants other than the AOL defendants 16 caused a loss to AOL shareholders?

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. Well, in a contingent sense, yes.

19 And some of them were not defendants.

20 In other words, I found that certain

21 analyst reports caused a loss, both in

22 re-inflating the stock price based on

reaffirming statements AOL was making and/or

24 caused a loss in the sense that they revealed

25 part of the relevant truth, and so some of the TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

1

4

5

11

12

14

23

1

3

5

6

16

22

23

2 key loss causation events were attributable to 3

Q. But if an analyst -- if AOL had made a false statement to the market that 6 artificially inflated AOL's share price and an analyst learned that information and revealed it 8 to the market as soon as it found out, that 9 analyst wouldn't be the cause of losses to AOL 10 shareholders, would it?

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. I mean we can get into semantics, but 13 if I reveal truth to the market, I'm causing a

15 Now liability wise, they're not the 16 cause of that loss, AOL is ultimately the cause of that loss, but there might be multiple causes to that loss.

19 In other words, different defendants 20 may have acted and because one defendant didn't 21 disclose the truth doesn't absolve another 22 defendant from disclosing the truth as well.

O. And when --

A. So there could be multiple people who 24 25 contributed to the inflation in the stock price

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

Page 51

Page 53

S. Hakala

that ultimately caused the loss when the truth 3 was revealed.

4 Q. But in the example that I gave you where an analyst learns of AOL's false statement 6 and as soon as the analyst learns of the false statement, that analyst discloses it to the market, the cause as you describe from a

9 liability point of view is not the analyst

10 because the inflation that is being removed from the stock price is inflation caused by AOL in

12 that circumstance, not by the analyst; is that 13 correct?

14 MR. HALL: Objection.

A. In a liability sense and in the legal 15 16 sense, yes, but in a practical sense the analyst is still causing the loss because he's leaking

the relevant truth. 18

19 Now he's not liable for it because he 20 has -- he's in effect doing a service. He's 21 just merely revealing the truth, he's not previously hiding the truth which is what 22 ultimately causes the inflation. 23

24 So there's -- you're sort of using 25 words that have both a meaning in a legal

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 52

S. Hakala

2 duty to speak so I'm asking him what that 3 duty to speak is.

A. Well, let's put it in a non-legal 4 that we're getting into the standards of professional practice of the AIMR at that point,

7 now the CFA institute. If you as an analyst come upon that 9 kind of information, you have an affirmative duty to pull your reports and withdraw your 10

recommendations at that point. 11

Now you cannot disclose the news but 12 you do have an affirmative duty to pull. You 13 can't continue to cover the company and you certainly can't issue any reports until that 15 news is disclosed by the company. 16

And in fact if the company does not 17 come forthwith, you actually have an affirmative 18 duty, ethical duty at a minimum to disclose that 19 to the public, to your clients as well as to the 20 21 public in general.

22 Q. Okay. Let's start from a simpler hypothetical because I think my question to you is from an economic point of view, at what point 24 25 does somebody who has knowledge start inflating

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

context and a meaning in an ordinary context. 2

- Q. Well, let me pick up on that, that I think you just said the concealing causes inflation, okay?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So I'm at a cocktail party and I overhear two AOL executives saying that AOL isn't going to meet its targets on an analyst, 9 10 okay? 11
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I learn that and I don't disclose 12 13 that to anybody.

Am I inflating AOL stock price at 14 that point as an analyst? 15

MR. HALL: Objection.

- 17 A. Implicitly yes. If you have a duty to speak, if you've got a ratings out there and you don't downgrade, yes. 19
- O. Where does my duty to speak come from 20 in that circumstance? 21

MR. HALL: Objection.

This is a legal -- I mean you're

talking about in a legal sense? 24 25

MR. GESSER: He just said there's a

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

4

5

Page 54

S. Hakala

2 a stock price.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

So I don't cover -- I'm an analyst. I don't cover AOL, okay? I'm an analyst. I'm at a cocktail party. I don't cover AOL. I overhear two AOL executives saying that AOL isn't going to meet its financial targets in a month from now.

Okay. A.

Q. At that point, am I as the analyst causing an inflation in AOL stock price? 11

MR. HALL: Objection.

13 A. In the abstract of to the extent that you're aware of news that if revealed would have 14 caused the stock to fall, yes. 15

In a liability sense, probably no in 16 17 that scenario as I understand it.

18 O. So you're saying from an economic point of view, anybody who knows information 19 about a company that if disclosed would cause its stock to fall is, by not disclosing that 22 information, inflating the stock price.

23 A. Yes. If information is inside, 24 non-public and material information and it is 25 adverse to the company, then that is inflating TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 55

S. Hakala

2 the stock price, and anyone who is party to that information is party to the inflation.

Now --

O. Even --

6 A. -- that's a different issue from what your legal obligations might be and where liabilities lines may be drawn, which is a legal 9 issue I don't draw on.

10 But even in that scenario, if my firm is covering that company, let's say I'm an 11 analyst and I don't have coverage responsibility 12 13 but my firm does, there's still an ethical 14 question of whether or not my firm can continue 15 to cover.

16 Q. Suppose I'm not even an analyst. 17 Suppose I'm me. I'm a lawyer, I work at a law firm and I overhear two AOL executives talking 18 19 at a cocktail party saying that they won't meet 20 their numbers in a month.

21 A. So now you know that the stock is 22 inflated.

23 Q. I know -- all I know -- I don't --24 all I know is what I've heard. I've heard that 25 two AOL executives think they're not going to TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 56

1

13

Page 57

S. Hakala

make their numbers.

A. And you think that's credible, then you're aware that there's inflation in the stock price.

Q. And I'm part of the cause of the inflation.

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. Yeah, if -- but you may not be liable for it and you may not be required to act to 10 remove it but you're part of the cause.

Once you're party to or knowledgeable 12 about something that's material and inside to a 13 corporation, you're part of it. 14

15 And in fact there's a whole 16 discussion about when you, when you come upon these settings as a CFA, what duties and 17 responsibilities you have to the party that has that knowledge of encouraging them to come forth 20 and be forthright and what your duties and 21 responsibilities are.

22 And I don't purport to be a legal or an ethical expert beyond a CFA, but it's not as 23 simple as you would make it out to be. 24 25

Now as I would say that if I'm just

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 S. Hakala

2 some regular guy or an attorney and I come upon

this information, if I'm an attorney for the

4 company, I may not have a duty to disclose

5 because I'm not known as a speaker about the

company, I don't have a duty to go post it on

7 the Internet but so I may not be legally liable,

8 but nevertheless I might even then have an

9 obligation to go to the proper people and say

look, you know, you're in possession of this 10

information, you should be aware of it. 11

12 O. But I'm asking --

You need to disclose it.

14 Q. I'm asking you from an economics 15 point of view, you're asked to determine whether

statements had an impact on AOL's stock price 16 17 and I think what you told me is some of these

18 statements or all of the statements had an

19 impact because they failed to disclose 20

something. 21

So I'm asking you from an economic 22 point of view, I'm the lawyer who overhears at a cocktail party that two AOL executives are

saying they're not going - AOL is not going to

25 make their numbers and I'm asking you from an

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

16

17

18

19

1

9

14

Page 58

S. Hakala

economic point of view, having heard that, am I now having an impact on the stock price by failing to disclose it?

MR. HALL: Objection.

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

14

17

18

A. Well, first let me correct something because you mischaracterized my prior testimony.

You have an impact on the stock price even if you reiterate or reaffirm something, okay? Because relative to the alternative of what you could have said, that's an impact.

Now it may not have moved the stock 13 but it's still an impact. It still enters the 14 mix of information. It may not alter it, but it does enter the mix. And if you measure it 16 against what the alternative would have been, it certainly has an impact against the alternative, which is the way we always think about in economics.

21 question, yes, you have an impact. You are now in possession of material inside information 22 23 which is different from what the market knows. 24 At that moment you are part of the cause of the 25 inflation but you may not be liable for it and 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Second of all, in answer to your

S. Hakala

you may not have an obligation, but you do have 3 and you are part of it.

4 You know, in the broader sense if you knew that there was insider trading or fraud going on, you might have an affirmative duty to 7 disclose it to the SEC. So even if you were just Joe Schmoe on the street and you heard two guys talking about this on the street, if it was credible and you knew about responsibility, you might still have a duty to go and call a 12 regulatory authority and say hey, I just heard 13 this.

Q. And from an economic point, Joe 15 Schmoe on the street hears two AOL executives talking, you view that as being sufficient to create an impact on the stock price for that person who overheard it.

MR. HALL: Objection.

20 A. Yes, because the individual at that moment has the ability within their action by 21 22 making a statement or by taking an action that 23 is within their purview of influencing that 24 stock price. 25

Q. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 61

Page 59

Page 60

S. Hakala

2 Q. A positive?

3 A. July 19th was negative. September 4 18, 19 was positive.

5 Q. Okay. And is that the only day that you can think of that your event study would 7 show a statistically significant increase in AOL 8 stock price as a result of a CSFB report?

MR. HALL: Objection.

10 Where one could draw that inference, albeit that there is at least one other analyst 11 that could explain at least part of that movement as well, yes. 1.3

Q. So that's the only day.

15 That's the only day where there's a positive impact based on a statement about AOL 16 17 Time Warner by a CSFB analyst where it's 18 identifiable.

19 Q. You say September 18th or September 20 19th.

21 Do you know which day that report was 22 released on?

23 A. I think that's the report we were 24 having trouble locating so I don't know that I 25 had the time stamp.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

- A. They have the ability to influence the stock price.
- Q. Okay. So let's call that disclosure impact, okay? What we just discussed.
 - A. Okay.
- O. Leaving aside disclosure impact, all right, does your event study establish that any of the CSFB reports caused a statistically significant increase in AOL stock price? 10

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. It is a reasonable hypothesis but it is not provable that by itself AOL Time Warner caused the increase in the stock price around 15 September 18th and 19th by itself, but it would 16 certainly --

O. You mean CSFB?

- A. Yes. When CSFB issued a report or commentary around September 18 and 19, that it was not the only speaker on that day but it was one of only two credible speakers on that day in 22 that time period.
- 23 O. But that was a negative impact, 24 right?
- 25 A. No. No. No, no, no, no.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 62 Page 63 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 2 2 And it's dated September 19th. I do know it got picked up. 3 3 (Witness reviewing exhibit.) A. Yes. We have it on 9/18/01, but I'm not 4 Q. Is this the report that you referred 4 absolutely convinced it came out that day as to as being the one that in your event study may 5 opposed to it may have continued, because it was 6 have an impact on --7 not a formal report, it may have continued to A. Yes. sort of filter into the market on the 19th and 8 MR. HALL: Objection. had an impact on the 19th. But at least for our 9 A. Yes, although I don't know that this 9 10 event purposes, we have it on 9/18. is the exact report or content. We picked it up on another source and I can't remember where, 11 (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 3, Credit 11 Suisse analyst report on AOL dated 9/19/01, 12 and I think we first saw it on the 18th, not the 12 13 19th but I'm trying to remember where. It was a 13 is marked for identification, as of this 14 14 long time ago we picked it up actually. date.) BY MR. GESSER: 15 Which reminds me actually when I give 15 16 Q. I'm showing you a document marked 16 an earlier answer when we were engaged, we actually did some very early work for the 17 Exhibit 3. 17 drafting of the complaint. 18 Do you recognize this document? 18 19 MR. HALL: I'll stop you right there. 19 20 I would like to caution you not to talk 20 O. Can you describe what it is? A. It is a morning meeting call on 21 about any consulting work you may have done 21 22 before you were retained for class 22 September 19. 23 certification purposes. 23 Q. Is a Credit Suisse analyst report on 24 AOL? 24 A. I wasn't retained - I was retained 25 25 earlier by the counsel for other purposes. A. Yes. 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 64 Page 65 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala So yeah, we were struggling to find 2 So let's say on September 18th, 2 out when exactly this hit. We knew for sure it September 19th, the analyst either puts out the 3 3 4 came in on the morning call on the 19th. word to institutions before this call goes out 5 We were not sure that this hadn't or on the morning of this call puts out the word that they think that the stock is now gone out in some fax or some pre-release to 6 7 undervalued or that these concerns are fully 7 clients sometime on the 18th. Q. Okay. And reminding you of what you priced and they recommend a buy. That would 9 stop the price from continuing to fall and may had said earlier about the AOL analyst 10 statements, that "Most of the reports issued by bring buyers back into the stock and move the 10 11 the defendants were merely repeating or 11 stock back up. That's not uncommon. commenting on AOL Time Warner news without Q. And where do you see that? 12 significant changes in the opinion, such that 13 Α. "Concerns about Entertainment Group 14 stock price effects would be viewed as 14 Priced into AOL; Buy at Current Levels." 15 unlikely," does this report fall into that 15 Q. Where is that? 16 Tag line, right here on the first 16 category? A. 17 MR. HALL: Objection. 17 page. 18 A. No. 18 And then they go on furthermore, "The 19 Q. Why not? 19 rev mix is more favorable. For investors who A. Because this is saying that the 20 need to be long something in this group, AOL has 21 concerns that the market has been raising 21 meaningfully outperformed the rest of the recently that had been deflecting downward the 22 entertainment group on the downside. As an price of AOL are already priced in the stock and early turn play, it is worth owning at current 23 levels, in our view." 24 therefore the stock is a buy, so this would 24 25 either stop the price from declining. 25 So they're saying if you want to get TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580

5

6

Page 66

S. Hakala 1 2 in ahead of the turnaround in the stocks, stock's been falling, we think it's going to turn around and start going back up, you want to 5 get in early, get in now.

Q. And you think this is materially different than what CSFB had been saying in other reports?

9 A. It is not so much materially 10 different as materially different than what the 11 market understood in this time period and was 12 reflecting a change in sentiment relative to the market that an analyst was making that had not 14 been made by other analysts, certainly not this 15 forcefully with the exception of a Bear Stearns 16 analyst sometime on the 19th.

> (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 4, Bear Stearns report entitled "Top Fundamental Pick in Our Universe", is marked for identification, as of this date.)

(Witness reviewing exhibit.)

22 BY MR. GESSER:

Q. I'm showing you Exhibit 4. Do you recognize this?

25 A. Yes.

6

8

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

6

15

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

1

2

3

9

25

20

Page 69

Page 67

Page 68

S. Hakala

break this down and do content analysis, I would not necessarily put any less weight on the Credit Suisse report than the Bear Stearns 4 5 report.

In other words, we have two reports coming out by two different analysts around the 18th and 19th, both saving we think AOL is going 9 to turn around, AOL has less exposure to its --10 than its peers to these advertising concerns, so 11 we think it's a top pick, you know, or we think 12 it's a good buy for a turnaround play. Which 13 one would have a greater impact I think we could 14 debate all day.

I'm just saying we've got two analyst 16 reports and the combination of those two is clearly having a significant impact on the stock price in this time period, and there's no other news in this time period that I think is doing 20 it.

21 Q. But as an economist, how could you possibly conclude one way or the other whether 23 the impact that you measure on that day is caused by the Bear Stearns report or the CSFB 25 report?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

2 Q. Is this the Bear Stearns report that you were referring to?

4 A. Yes.

Q. Can you read the title of the report?

"Top Fundamental Pick in Our A.

Universe."

8 Q. Okay. And if you read the first

sentence under "Key Points," "AOL Time Warner is

our top fundamental pick in this environment. 10

Out of all the entertainment companies we

12 follow, AOL Time Warner has the lowest exposure

to advertising." 13

A. Yes. 14

15 Q. Is that correct?

16 A. Yes.

Q. Would you view this as being equally 17

18 or more favorable than the report that was

issued on the same day by CSFB? 19

MR. HALL: Objection.

21 A. It's really hard to say. I think

22 Bear Stearns had already had AOL Time Warner as

their top pick previously, so if you go down

below, they're reiterating previously. 24

But I would -- I mean if we sort of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

MR. HALL: Objection.

Can you define what you mean by

4 impact?

5 Q. Whatever the statistical significant movement that you've discovered through your 6 event study. 8

A. Well, you can't say which one is --MR. HALL: Objection.

A. -- completely the cause and that's 10 11 with any event where there's two pieces of news 12 simultaneously and they both would tend to move

the stock in the same direction, you have to do 13 some kind of analytics outside of the event 14

15 study itself to determine which one was the

16 cause or both were the cause.

17 In this case it ultimately is an opinion and a judgment and a judgment of fact 18 that could go to a jury, for example, of looking 19 at it and saying we do know there's two analysts 20 that spoke and when these two analysts spoke 21 over this two-day period, the stock went up a

22 23 lot.

24 So we can draw an inference that when 25 two analysts speak and speak contrary to market

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

1

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

1

5

19

2 beliefs and expectations and give more than just a reiteration, that it does move the stock price and CSFB was one of the two.

And to me that's enough. That's what I'm supposed to do.

O. But there's no economic, recognized economic analysis that would let you determine, peer-reviewed economic analysis that would let you determine whether either of these reports individually caused an impact on the stock price.

MR. HALL: Objection.

Just one question. When you talk about impact, are you talking about a positive movement in the stock price?

MR. GESSER: I'm talking about a statistically significant positive impact on the stock price.

20 A. Well, economic --

MR. HALL: Objection.

22 A. Economically you can say that using rational analysis of these two, they both with a 23

high degree of confidence contributed to the

movement, but you're right that there is no peer 877-702-9580

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

1

13

14

15

17

1

8

9

16

25

S. Hakala

reviewed way to deal with confounding events

period in the literature.

4 And that's always been a problem of 5 literature and that's why that's an issue of

fact and analysis that ultimately experts can

disagree on and juries can disagree on, but it's

still an issue.

9 It still establishes at this point 10 the two analysts issuing reports in this time period significantly influenced the stock price 11 and that certainly shows impact to me. 12

Q. Do you know how Raymond Lee Katz was ranked as an analyst?

A. I saw some ratings on him. I don't think he was rated that highly but I don't want 16 to speak until I look.

And also I tend to take those ratings 18 with a grain of salt. Just because somebody 20 gets a higher rating in one poll versus another 21 doesn't necessarily mean he has more pull or 22 more weight.

Q. Does the academic literature agree 23 24 with your analysis?

25 A. Yeah. I think the academic

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 73

Page 71

Page 72

S. Hakala

literature suggests that it's very difficult to tell which analyst is more or less important from a statistical standpoint. 4

I think it is acknowledged that certain types of analysts in certain cases using 7 event studies when they speak differently from the market positively or negatively will seem to move the stock more than others, but just saying 10 as an abstract matter because one analyst is 11 ranked third in a tier of their peer group in a subindustry and another is ranked fourth that one will have more influence than the other I 13 14 don't think follows.

In fact they're probably viewed 15 equally by the market. 16 17

O. And sitting here today, you can't determine whether this report came out on the 18th or the 19th; is that correct?

A. Well, I know this particular report 20 came out on the 19th. 21

What I'm saying is that we saw some 22 23 indicia or evidence that either the Bear Stearns 24 report or the Credit Suisse report, one or the 25 other or both, even though they are published on

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

S. Hakala

the 19th began to come out in some form or fashion sometime on the 18th. That's not 4 uncommon.

5 Q. And did you find a statistically significant movement of the stock price on the 6 7 18th?

> Yes. À.

At what confidence level?

10 A. If we hypothesize a positive 11 direction, then it would be at the 95 percent confidence interval. 12

13 If we use a two-tail test, it would 14 be at the 90 percent level. 15

Q. So can you explain to me the difference between those two tests?

17 A. Yes. One test says this is good 18 news, a priori this should move the stock up. I want to see if in fact it moved the stock up. 20 That's a one-tail test.

21

If I look at this and say this is news, this is an analyst report I want to see if it had any effect at all positive or negative, 23

that's a two-tail test. 24

Q. And so the two-tail test had

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

confidence at what level?

1

2

10

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

17

18

19

20

3 A. 90 percent and the one tail at 95 percent, which for this purposes would be 4 considered statistically significant, although 5 standard nomenclature we would say that a 7 matisse statistic of 1.65 is weakly significantly. Weakly means it's statistically 8 significant but it's just barely so.

Q. And if the CSFB report did come out 11 on the 18th, would you still expect it to have an impact on the stock price the next day?

A. Yes because it would have come out 13 14 late on the 18th as I understood it and the morning call would have reiterated and that would have been the primary impact. 16

In other words, we saw the 18th as a 17 leakage event. We didn't see the 18th as the 18 primary event. 19

20 This report originally we said put it 21 on the 18th because that's when we thought it 22 first hit the market.

When I saw this report, actually I 23 24 saw it more recently, we were pretty convinced 25 it was sort of a two-day window for CSFB.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

2

16

1

10

11

14

15

18

S. Hakala

Q. And when you say "late on the 18th," 3 do you mean after the close of the market or before the close of the market?

5 A. Before the trading stopped but at or 6 near the close or sometime in the afternoon.

7 I mean in my mind if it came out on 8 the 19th, that's not even a question that's 9 statistically significant so...

- 10 Q. But the report, just to be clear, the 11 report on the 19th had a buy rating; is that 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. That was consistent with previous CSFB reports; is that right?
 - A. As was Bear Stearns.
- 17 Okay. О.

18 They both had buy ratings. They both 19 maintained a buy rating. They both reiterated a 20 buy rating.

21 Q. I'm just asking you what was -- in 22 terms of the CSFB report, the buy rating was 23 same as previous reports and the price target 24 was the same; is that correct?

25 I believe that's right.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 77

877-702-9580

Page 75

Page 76

S. Hakala

Q. Do you know if any of the estimates 3 that appear in the September 19th, 2001 report for CSFB were changed from previous reports?

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. I don't remember. If they were changed, they had really been signaled in a change in July 19th.

I viewed this as a report speaking to a market that was concerned and had been, quote, 10 unquote, hitting the stock price and saying we don't think it's that bad, buy at these levels 13 because we think the stock's going to recover.

So I didn't look so much at the rating as the content of what was being said and 15 how investors interpreted it.

Q. You viewed as what is being said as being different from what other analysts were saying other than Bear Stearns.

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. Other analysts in this time period 21 22 and/or the market in general.

23 In other words, they're speaking in a 24 time where the market, there's not as much 25 analyst commentary because they're sort of in an

877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide

S. Hakala

interim period and they are now speaking against concerns that had been leaking into the market,

and they are in the sense countering or

moderating those concerns. They're saying those

6 concerns are overdone or already priced in the 7 stock. We don't think the stock is going to go

down anymore, buy at current levels. 8 9

And that's different than just it's a buy, it's -- this is a good time to buy because you're getting in on the floor on a turnaround.

12 Q. Did you do an intraday analysis on 13 either the 18th or 19th?

A. No.

Why not? O.

Well, I looked at overnight, but 16 17 other than overnight, no.

> Why not? Q.

A. I don't generally have intraday trade 19 20 data and I did not have an exact timing for 21 these events.

22 MR. GESSER: I understand we're 23 almost done with the tape; is that correct? 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You have five

25 minutes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

Page 78 Page 79 1 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 2 BY MR. GESSER: 2 made certain statements about the quality of Q. Let's turn to the days in which there earnings expectations that I believe had an 3 were what you describe in your rebuttal report impact but it was small, not enough to be 4 as disclosures of the relevant truth. measurable in terms of changing the mix of 5 information but clearly that is in my mind an 6 A. Okay. 7 7 Q. All right? 8 MR. HALL: Do you have a specific 8 In other words, it's not just the 9 section in the rebuttal report? 9 non-disclosure, it's the affirmative statement MR. GESSER: Paragraph 4 of the in contrast with the non-disclosure that is the 10 11 rebuttal report. 11 impact. BY MR. GESSER: 12 If CSFB had said we're neutral or 12 Q. If I understand you correctly, you we're uncertain, that would have had a big 13 13 14 conclude that the CSFB reports, all of them, had impact in the other direction. 14 an impact on AOL share price because they failed 15 Q. For those statements you described 16 them as being not measurable, how do you know 16 to disclose certain facts that were known to the 17 AOL analysts at CSFB and you know that that had that they had an impact? 17 18 an impact because when those facts were actually MR. HALL: Objection. 18 disclosed, AOL stock price reacted negatively: 19 A. Because we know that other analysts 20 when they made statements in times when no one 20 is that correct? MR. HALL: Objection. 21 else was making statements had an impact. 21 22 22 A. Not entirely. We know that the nature of the 23 Q. Okay. Why don't you characterize 23 statement made, the type of statement made had an impact significantly, albeit it was an impact 24 that for me. 25 A. We also know that the CSFB reports earlier in the day that the company made. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 80 Page 81 S. Hakala S. Hakala 1 1 2 I think April 18th of '01 is a good increasing concerns and a declining stock price example where the company makes a statement and 3 is impacting. 3 then CSFB makes a statement, and the increase in 4 Whether the impact is a half of a the CSFB statement is modest at best, but the percent or one percent in the positive content of what's being said has an impact. direction, whether the impact is felt right away 7 If CSFB had said instead we think the 7 or whether people read the report and think company is wrong, rather than the stock going up about it for two or three days and the stock a little bit more and reinforcing what the or whether the impact prevents the stock from 9 10 company's already said, it would have gone down 10 falling as much, it's still an impact. 11 a lot because it would have disputed what the 11 It's not necessarily measurable company is saying, so in my mind that's impact. 12 because it's not a statement where you're making 12 13 Q. But in your mind that's impact for 13 a significant change in isolation of any other 14 what was not disclosed. thing going on, but it's still having an impact. 14 MR. HALL: Objection. You can't say it doesn't have an impact. 15 15 Q. Well-A. It means it's beyond what was not 16 16 17 disclosed. You know, if I come out and I issue 17 In other words, the failure to find an analyst report today and I give a buy rating it doesn't prove that it didn't have an impact. 18 18 and say the price target is \$80 and then two 19 Q. But had CSFB not issued an analyst 19 20 weeks later I come out and give a buy rating 20 report on April 18th, 2001, would AOL stock 21 again and say the price target is \$80, I'm price, in your view, be different? 21 22 reiterating the same thing. And I wouldn't 22 MR. HALL: Objection.

23

24

25

877-702-9580

23 expect it to cause the stock to go up a lot but

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

24 the fact that I'm reiterating and maintaining my

25 targets in the face may be of market evidence of

21

877-702-9580

Q. Had been different. Would AOL stock

A. Yes, but not a lot different. Maybe

price have been different?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide -

Page 82 Page 83 S. Hakala 1 1 S. Hakala 2 2 half a percent, maybe a percent different by the (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 5, 3 Affidavit, is marked for identification, as 3 end of the day. Q. How would you know that? How would 4 of this date.) 4 5 you measure that? Is that your opinion or is THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start 5 6 6 there any -of the tape labeled No. 2. A. It's my opinion --7 The time is 11:20. We are back on 7 8 8 Q. Is there any economic accepted way to the record. 9 BY MR. GESSER: 9 measure that? 10 A. No. No. Other than to show what 10 Q. Dr. Hakala. I'm showing you a 11 happens when they later withdraw coverage or 11 document marked Exhibit 5. when they correct their statements or when 12 Do you recognize this document? another analyst does it, then we can see what 13 A. Yes. 13 the impact is. 14 Q. Can you tell me what it is? 14 A. It is the affidavit with all the 15 15 Q. Then you're looking at what would 16 have happened if an analyst would have withdrawn 16 exhibits that was prepared in response to a Motion for Summary Judgment on loss causation in 17 coverage. 18 I'm asking you if they simply did not 18 AOL Time Warner, the main securities litigation. 19 19 Q. The case against AOL. 20 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We have to change A. Yes. 21 And you prepared an expert report in 21 O. the tape. 22 The time is 11:05. We are going off 22 that case? 23 23 the record. A. Well, this is an affidavit, not 24 (Recess is taken.) 24 technically an expert report but it's pretty MR. GESSER: Marking as Exhibit 5. close to the same thing. 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 877-702-9580 Page 84 Page 85 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 1 2 O. Okay. And what was the issue that that correct? 2 resulted in that -- what was the issue in that 3 3 A. Yes. case that resulted in you filing this affidavit? 4 MR. HALL: Objection. A. Dr. Allan Kleidon of Cornerstone 5 Q. And when you were looking for 5 6 issued a declaration or affidavit claiming that material events, what would those include? 7 A. They would include in this study, any 7 there was no loss causation associated with the allegations in AOL Time Warner, so I and another 8. significant upgrades, downgrades, changes in expert, Dr. Laranches Meray, prepared affidavits price targets or earnings forecasts of analysts, any news about AOL or Time Warner that would be in support of or that were relied on by 10 plaintiffs in their response to that Motion for considered more than ordinary about any new 11 11 12 contracts, relationships, other things, any 12 Summary Judgment. earnings announcement that was given by AOL Time O. And in part of this submission did 13 Warner, any comments on earnings generally that 14 you prepare an event study? we could identify by AOL Time Warner or its 15 executives. Those were the primary news events Q. And for that event study did you 16 16 determine what were the material days during the 17 that we would have looked into. 17 class period? 18 Any news about any regulatory or 19 actions by government agencies was another area. A. Yes. 19 20 Q. And do you remember what the class 20 Q. What was the purpose of identifying 21 those days? period was in that case? 21 A. It was fairly long. It went from I 22 A. We wanted to isolate those dates as 22 what I would call the relevant event dates 23 think early 1999 through July 24th of 2002. 23 specific to the allegations in the complaint Q. And so the first stage of that event 24 25 study you would identify material events; is and/or to control for days when potentially the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 86 Page 87 1 S. Hakala S. Hakala 1 news that came out could have impacted or 2 the videotape changed I had asked you what would happen if the CSFB report on that day had not altered the stock price in a significant manner and therefore needed to be controlled for. been issued, and I think you had said that you O. And when you say "the stock price," could conclude that it would be that the absence 5 of the report would have had some small effect 6 you mean the stock price of AOL? A. AOL Time Warner, yeah. AOL first and on AOL stock price? 7 then AOL Time Warner later. 8 A. Yes. 8 9 MR. HALL: Objection. 9 O. Okay. And if you look on page 20 of BY MR. GESSER: 10 10 that report. 11 Q. My question to you is how would you 11 A. Okay. 12 12 Appendix A. know that? Q. 13 A. There's no way to test it but I 13 Yes. 14 always view analyst reports following a major 14 O. It's a discussion of the event study statement by the company. Remember the company 15 15 analysis. is reaffirming earnings guidance in the face of 16 A. Yes. 16 a slipping stock price where questions are made 17 Q. And in paragraph 31 you discuss the 18 about earnings. 18 days that were selected -19 When an analyst comes in in the sense 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. - as material event days? 20 after the conference call says we agree with 21 management, that reiteration acts sort of like a 21 Yes. Α. 22 booster shot. 22 O. Do you see September 18th or 23 23 September 19th, 2001 in your list of days? Now typically what happens or what 24 can happen in some of these cases, management 24 comes with a real bullish conference call and 25 O. Returning to April 18th, 2001, before 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Page 88 Page 89 S. Hakala 1 S. Hakala 1 statement by the company can in a sense maintain initially the reaction may be positive, then the stock price and prevent it from falling, can 3 people may sit down at the end of the trading day or next morning and say you know, they may increase it further or can cause it to fall 4 further or it could cause it to fall depending 5 have been blowing a lot of smoke at us, maybe 6 on the content and nature. 6 they're not all that cracked up and the stock 7 might have fallen or slipped one or two percent Q. Okay. 7 8 A. But in this particular case my sense at the end of day or the next day. 8 But if a couple of analysts come out was looking at it, and especially after the 9 Stulz report, was that the report acted like a 10 and credibly say, and especially with Martin, I 11 think there's some evidence she had some booster shot in the sense that it did have a 12 credibility, we're reiterating and we think this 12 small positive effect, but more likely prevented the stock from falling late in the day and is good news and we're reconfirming what 13 13 management just said, we agree with it, they are 14 overnight. 15 in sense acting like a booster shot. 15 Q. How do you determine that it had a small positive effect? It's kind of like if I have a flu 16 16 shot and the first shot only protects me 50 17 Looking at the intraday. 17 Q. Looking at the intraday, you percent from the flu, and then I go out and I 18 18 determined that following CSFB's analyst report get another flu shot and that gives me 25 19 20 percent protection and then another flu shot 20 that there was an increase in the AOL stock 21 21 gives me another incremental 10 percent price? Is that what you're saying? 22 A. I don't remember, but what I do protection, each incremental flu shot short of protects me from the flu so the chances I'm 23 remember was that it may have either broken a 23 24 going to get the flu are less and less and less. 24 trend or that my sense was that the stock might Analyst reports following a major 25 have fallen the following day or, I don't 25

877-702-9580

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

1

13

Page 90

1 S. Hakala

2 remember exactly how or what I was looking at

3 there, but I had a specific reason why I felt 4 that that statement in that particular report,

while it didn't change the stock price by much,

certainly not by a significant amount, it did

act as a booster shot that may have prevented

the stock from falling further, and the silence

by CSFB following that announcement would have

10 in my opinion probably allowed a greater decline. 11

12

In fact this is it. I'm looking at 13 my event study, Exhibit B, "4/19 Credit Lyonnais

lowers price target." And the price only falls 14 a little bit on the 19th of April, and I believe 15

one of the reasons why it falled was because the

CSFB report, which was kind of a unique report, 17 18 helped to neutralize the impact of the credit

19 report.

20 In other words --

Q. Did you say the CSFB report was a 21 22 unique report?

A. Yeah, that was my understanding. 23

Q. What do you mean by "a unique 24 25 report"?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

S. Hakala

A. It made some specific comments and commentary that I thought - and the timing was I thought somewhat unique for that report.

Q. As opposed to the other reports that were issued on that day?

As opposed to some of the other reports, although it certainly wasn't alone.

Q. Do you know how many reports were issued on that day?

A. No. not now. I did then.

Q. Okay. Can you tell me what it was 13 about the CSFB report on April 18th that you remember to be unique?

MR. HALL: Objection.

A. Not without looking at it.

17 O. Let's look at it.

> (Defendants' Exhibit Hakala 6, CSFB analyst report for AOL Time Warner for 4/18/01, is marked for identification, as of this date.)

(Witness reviewing exhibit.)

23 BY MR. GESSER:

24 Q. You recognize this document marked 25 Exhibit 6?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

Page 93

Page 91

Page 92

S. Hakala

2 A. Yeah.

1

9

Q. Is this the CSFB analyst report for 3 4 AOL Time Warner for April 18th, 2001? 5

A. Yes.

6 O. The title is "AOL reports positive 7 surprise for March quarter results. No change to guidance, but visibility remains low." 8

10 Q. What do you take "visibility remains 11 low" to mean?

A. Meaning that there are risks to the 12 forecast because there are uncertainties in 13 14 coming periods.

15 Q. Okay. And what do you view as being unique about this report as opposed to what 16 17 either AOL was saying or what other analysts were saying on this day? 18

A. The stock is underowned as it is. 19 The risk/reward on any pullback looks 20 compelling, meaning that this report would in a sense help staunch further declines in the stock 22 price and add sort of a support underneath the 23 24 stock.

25 Q. And you think that's more positive TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

S. Hakala

than what any of the other analysts were saying?

3 A. Than some of the analysts. I don't say all of the analysts. I certainly don't. 4

5 But I thought that the timing of this report also came out at a little different time than some of the others. Certainly this report

and a number of reports acted sort of to

reinforce and keep the Credit Lyonnais report 10 and some other negative reports on the 19th from

having a negative effect, from having a strong 11 12 negative effect.

Q. Is there any way to measure that?

14 A. No. No.

15 Q. There's no economically recognized methodology that would allow you to reach that

17 conclusion. 18 Well, it's kind of like saying this:

19 Suppose somebody comes out and says something that's positive and reinforcing and the stock

21 doesn't move, but suppose that if they had not made that statement, the stock would have fallen

23 four percent. There's no way to test that until

24 you can look at what happens when they don't 25 make the statement later on or you can draw an

TSG Reporting - Worldwide